



Galliford Try Telecoms PO Box 17452 2 Lochside View Edinburgh EH12 1LB

Tel.: 0131 200 4400 Fax.: 0131 200 4498

gallifordtry.co.uk

Proposed telecommunications base station at:

Cell Ref:CS30845400 VF024775Address:Land at Main Street, Cambuslang, Glasgow, G72 7ERNGR:264463, 660529

## **Discounted Site Information**

| Site | Name / Address  | NGR               | Reason for discounting   |
|------|---|-------------------|--|
| 1    | Existing rooftop site<br>The Bakery<br>Greenlees Road | 264364,<br>660315 | This shared rooftop Cornerstone (CS12854529) solution<br>currently provides both Vodafone Limited (VF079156) and<br>Virgin Media O2 (VMO2093326) with 2G, 3G and 4G network<br>coverage in the area. However, the site cannot be upgraded for<br>5G purposes as the operators have been served with a notice<br>to quit ('NTQ') this site to facilitate redevelopment plans.<br>Therefore, to prevent a loss of 2G, 3G and 4G Vodafone Limited<br>coverage and to establish a new 5G solution, the operator is<br>seeking a new base station site to maintain and improve<br>network services and connectivity in the area.  |
| 2    | Kyle Court, Main Street                               | 264414,<br>660562 | This 13-storey high residential block currently accommodates<br>the telecommunications apparatus of another operator via a<br>rooftop stub mast. Although Vodafone Limited have considered<br>the use of this option given that apparatus could be installed<br>under permitted development rights avoiding the need for a<br>planning consent, base stations have a set capacity for users<br>and it is imperative that modern base stations limit their<br>transmission to their target area to prevent the infrastructure<br>being oversubscribed by users, i.e., too many devices<br>attempting to connect with a base station at any one time,<br>which can result in poor customer experience and services.<br>Also, if signal cannot be restricted to a certain geographical<br>area, it can lead to interference with the solutions for adjoining<br>cell areas. This option must therefore be discounted on<br>operational merit. |
| 3    | Cambuslang Police<br>Station, Tabernacle<br>Street    | 264369,<br>660367 | The building on-site is a low-rise, pitched roof building and both<br>the architecture and height have rendered any rooftop<br>development unfeasible as the antennas simply could not<br>reach the required height to both achieve ICNIRP compliance<br>and ensure effective signal transmission above surrounding<br>clutter. Whilst a ground-based mast was considered, space is at<br>a premium within this premises and any development would<br>reduce the on-site parking provision. It would also result in the<br>deployment of a ground-based mast with an overbearing   |

|   |   |                   | impact upon either No. 12 Greenlees Road, a residential property to the north, or the St Bride's School, to the west. On   |
|---|---|-------------------|--|
|   |   |                   | balance, the use of the subject development; a street furniture<br>style mast set within a context of other street furniture and<br>large-scale buildings, was considered to strike a more<br>appropriate balance between operational and planning<br>considerations.  |
| 4 | Cambuslang MoT Centre   | 264565,<br>660338 | The building on-site is a low-rise, which renders any rooftop<br>development unfeasible as the antennas simply could not<br>reach the required height to both achieve ICNIRP compliance<br>and ensure effective signal transmission. Whilst a ground-<br>based mast was considered, a substantial structure would be<br>needed for the necessary antenna height for a reasonable<br>degree of coverage to be achieved, this would have a lesser<br>visual context than the subject proposal. In addition, the<br>declining topography in a context of the buildings located on<br>higher land to the east towards Greenlees Road will also<br>impede signal transmission. Furthermore, space is at a<br>premium within this premises and any development would<br>reduce the on-site parking provision that could in turn have a<br>financial implication for the business on-site. As such this<br>option was deemed to strike a lesser balance between all<br>relevant planning and operational considerations and has been<br>discounted accordingly. |
| 5 | Street furniture<br>development along<br>Tabernacle Street /<br>Johnson Drive | Various           | The presence of underground/overhead services and narrow<br>pavement widths, limit development options to the area<br>adjacent to the St Bride's School on the south side of Johnson<br>Drive. Development in this location would benefit from a lesser<br>degree of visual context and would sit a low-lying topography<br>when compared with the land to the east. Therefore, on<br>balance, this option has been discounted as less preferable on<br>planning and operational merit.  |
| 6 | Scottish Electrical<br>Training Trust   | 264440,<br>660406 | The building on-site is a low-rise, which renders any rooftop<br>development unfeasible as the antennas simply could not<br>reach the required height to both achieve ICNIRP compliance<br>and ensure effective signal transmission. Whilst a ground-<br>based mast was considered, a substantial structure would be<br>needed for the necessary antenna height for a reasonable<br>degree of coverage to be achieved, this would have a lesser<br>visual context than the subject proposal and proximity to the<br>railway line could be an issue that result in a Network Rail<br>objection. The declining topography in a context of the building<br>located on higher land to the east towards Greenlees Road will<br>also impede signal transmission. As such this option was<br>deemed to strike a lesser balance between all relevant planning<br>and operational considerations and has been discounted<br>accordingly.  |
| 7 | Development at Unique<br>Bodywork   | 264594,<br>660356 | The building on-site is a low-rise and both the architecture and<br>height have rendered any rooftop development unfeasible as<br>the antennas simply could not reach the required height to<br>both achieve ICNIRP compliance and ensure effective signal<br>transmission above surrounding clutter. Whilst a ground-based  |





Galliford Try Telecoms PO Box 17452 2 Lochside View Edinburgh EH12 1LB

Tel.: 0131 200 4400 Fax.: 0131 200 4498

gallifordtry.co.uk

|    |                                      |                   | mast was considered, a substantial structure would be needed<br>for the necessary antenna height for a reasonable degree of<br>coverage to be achieved. In addition, space is at a premium<br>within this premises and any development would reduce the<br>on-site parking provision that could in turn have a financial<br>implication for the business on-site. The declining topography<br>in a context of the buildings located on higher land to the east<br>towards Greenlees Road will also impede signal transmission.<br>As such this option was deemed to strike a lesser balance<br>between all relevant planning and operational considerations<br>and has been discounted accordingly.  |
|----|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 8  | Cambuslang Clinic                    | 264540,<br>660230 | The building on-site is a low-rise and both the architecture and<br>height have rendered any rooftop development unfeasible as<br>the antennas simply could not reach the required height to<br>both achieve ICNIRP compliance and ensure effective signal<br>transmission above surrounding clutter. Whilst a ground-based<br>mast was considered, a substantial structure would be needed<br>for the necessary antenna height for a reasonable degree of<br>coverage to be achieved. In addition, space is at a premium<br>within this premises and any development would reduce the<br>on-site parking provision that could in turn have a financial<br>implication for the business on-site. The declining topography<br>in a context of the buildings located on higher land to the east<br>towards Greenlees Road will also impede signal transmission.<br>As such this option was deemed to strike a lesser balance<br>between all relevant planning and operational considerations<br>and has been discounted accordingly. |
| 9  | St Bride's Church,<br>Greenlees Road | 264290,<br>600435 | Although this is a non-residential building at an elevate<br>position, the pitched roof and architecture of this building<br>make it impossible to achieve a viable rooftop solution.<br>Although a new ground-based mast was considered, the site is<br>overlooked at fairly close range by residential development and<br>impact upon general amenity was considered to be more<br>harmful at this property than at the subject site, given that the<br>latter makes good use of a commercial setting, existing vertical<br>feature and large buildings for context purposes. This option<br>has been deemed less appropriate on balance.  |
| 10 | St Bride's Primary School            | 264440,<br>660350 | The building on-site is fairly low-rise and accommodates a<br>rooftop PV scheme. This feature when taken with both the<br>architecture and height have rendered any rooftop<br>development unfeasible as the antennas simply could not<br>reach the required height to both achieve ICNIRP compliance  |

|    |   |                   | and ensure effective signal transmission above surrounding<br>clutter. Whilst a ground-based mast was considered, a<br>substantial structure would be needed for the necessary<br>antenna height for a reasonable degree of coverage to be<br>achieved. In addition, space is at a premium within this<br>educational premises and any development would reduce the<br>usable space having a detrimental impact upon the workings of<br>the school. The declining topography in a context of the<br>buildings located on higher land to the east towards Greenlees<br>Road will also impede signal transmission. As such this option<br>was deemed to strike a lesser balance between all relevant<br>planning and operational considerations and has been<br>discounted accordingly. |
|----|---|-------------------|---|
| 11 | Cambuslang Centre   | 264325,<br>660550 | This 4-storey high building was considered for use at survey<br>stage. However, the architecture and expanse of the rooftop<br>have primarily rendered this unviable from an operational<br>perspective, due to signal clipping. In addition, there is little to<br>no space between the top floor residential units and the<br>rooftop, which could mean intrusion into the units would be<br>required to ensure the structural integrity of any rooftop<br>development. On balance, this option has been discounted as<br>less preferable than the subject proposal.  |
| 12 | Street furniture<br>development at junction<br>of Tabernacle Street /<br>Greenlees Road | Various           | The presence of underground services and narrow pavement<br>widths, limit development options to the area adjacent to the<br>Police Station, on the south east side of this junction. However,<br>the building at 2 Tabernacle Street is category 'B' listed, so<br>development in this location would have greater degree of<br>impact upon built heritage and would benefit from a lesser<br>degree of visual context. Therefore, this option has been<br>discounted as less preferable on planning merit.  |
| 13 | Savoy Bingo, Main Street  | 264385,<br>660488 | Consideration was given to the use of this Category 'C' Listed<br>Building. However, pole-mounted antennas or a stub mast<br>would be required for a 5G compatible solution and the likely<br>impact of this upon the character of the host building was<br>deemed too great. As such this option has primarily been<br>discounted on planning grounds.   |
| 14 | Alternative street<br>furniture development<br>options along Main<br>Street             | Various           | The presence of underground services, other street furniture,<br>declining topographies and proximity to large buildings all limit<br>development options along both sides of this road. On balance,<br>the subject proposal was deemed to strike the best available<br>balance between operational and planning considerations,<br>which must include the achievement of ICNIRP certification.   |
| 15 | Development options<br>north of Main Street   | Various           | The decline in topography when taken with the height of the buildings along the Main Street renders any development in this area unfeasible form an operational perspective.  |